## Critical Appraisal Tool (CAT)

Article to be appraised:

Author/s (year) title. Journal, page numbers.	
<ul> <li>Does the study clearly state its aim/s? (e.g. to describe classroom practice, views/measure students' motivation, test the effectiveness of a specific approximately process.</li> <li>What are they?</li> </ul>	oroach, etc)
□ I don't know □ No	
2. Did the study use quantitative, qualitative or mixed methods?  ☐ Quantitative ☐ Qualitative ☐ Mixed	Is qualitative or quantitative data needed to respond
Add comments about the design here:	to the research question?
<ul><li>3. Are the research methods appropriate for the study's aims?</li><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐ I don't know</li><li>☐ No</li></ul>	What methods were used (e.g. observation/
Add comments about the methods here:	inteview)? What insights can we gain from them?
4. Who were the participants? Were they representative? How similar are the	y to your students?
Add notes about participant here:	
5. What are the overall results of the study?	
Add the main results here:	

	Are the results credible (i.e. was data triangulated, did researchers have repeated contact with participants, was peer debriefing used, etc.)
7. A	Are limitations of the study described?  ☐ Yes ☐ I don't know ☐ No
8. A	Are the conclusions the authors draw from their findings appropriate?  ☐ Yes ☐ I don't know ☐ No
Add	comments about conclusions here:
9. A	Are the findings relevant to your context?  ☐ Yes ☐ I don't know ☐ No
Add	comments about the relevance of findings to your context here:
	Vere all important outcomes considered? (e.g. was impact on student motivation or interaction with other methods considered?    Yes   I don't know   No
Add	comments about outcomes here:

## Chartered College of Teaching

The Professional Body for Teachers

Impact (	on practice:								
	☐ I have a bet	ter understandi ater understand		ative research p	orocess from re	_from reading this article eading this article ctice from reading and			
List at least one thing that you learnt from this study:									
1.									
2.									
3.									
List at least one way you could apply the findings from this study to your teaching practice:									
1.									
2.									
3.									
Overall, how would you rate this study? (1 = low merit, $5$ = high merit)									
	□ 1	□ 2	□ 3	□ 4	□ 5				
Overall, how much of an impact do you think this research will have on your practice? (1 = low impact, 5 = high impact):									
	□ 1	□ 2	□ 3	□ 4	□ 5				

## References

Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (2018). CASP Qualitative Checklist. Available at: <a href="https://casp-uk.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/CASP-Qualitative-Checklist-2018.pdf">https://casp-uk.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/CASP-Qualitative-Checklist-2018.pdf</a>

Jeanfreau SG and Jack L Jr (2010). Appraising qualitative research in health education: guidelines for public health educators. *Health promotion practice*, *11*(5), 612–617. https://doi.org/10.1177/1524839910363537